

Long-term Plan 2024–34



Respondent No. 49

Response ID 5624184

Date of contribution Apr 08 24 03:36:31 pm

Personal information

First name Anonymous

Last name Anonymous

I'm providing a submission (choose one): as an individual

Please let us know what ward you live in Waikanae

Do you want to speak to Council about your submission at our public hearings on 2 May? No

Are you happy for your name to be published with your feedback: I do not want my name published with my feedback

Submission

Proposal 1: Three waters funding
Which option should we choose? (select one option)

Option 2: Fund \$4.7 million shortfall by taking on debt each year.

Would you like to expand on your answer for option 2?

You have not supplied enough options. We are in a cost of living crisis.

As to Proposal 2, where is the option to reject all 3 put forward. Any increase should match inflation

Proposal 3: Transfer Council's housing for older people
Which option should we choose? (select one option)

Option 3: Older persons' housing continues to be deliver by Council with no option to grow the portfolio

Would you like to expand on your answer for option 3?

Looking after council property for the elderly should be a core job of council.

New climate action rate

Which option should we choose? (select one option)

Option 2: Make no change to how we allocate funding our climate change activities

Would you like to expand on your answer for option 2?

I totally disagree with option 1. People on fixed income will be bankrupted with this option or forced to sell. If a rate payer does not sell their property then they do not get any benefit of any capital gain. It is unrealised. You are asking people to pay extra rates on unrealised value. Where on earth are they supposed to find the extra money to pay council rates with option 1. You need to Come up with a better option than Option 2. Maybe stop spending on stupid stuff and concentrate on the basics. Also, anyone within 2 km of the beach have already been compromised by Kapiti Council by what has been already put on their LIM report with reference to the Jacob report (without notifying) which will seriously compromise the value of those properties going forward so that's a big NO to any increase of rates based on capital value. It is seriously flawed. How about sorting out the metrics in relation to the Jacob report and match it to what's actually happening on the Kapiti coast. Get the reference to the Jacob report off those LIM's.

If you have any views on these policies, please comment here:

Significance and engagement. If something directly affects a rate payers property, the council should notify them.

For example, LIM report alterations.

Very disappointed by the councils actions and lack of transparency.