Long-term Plan 2024-34

Respondent No. 268

Response ID 5675130

Date of contribution Apr 28 24 07:42:31 am



Personal information

First name Anonymous

Anonymous Last name

I'm providing a submission (choose

one):

as an individual

Please let us know what ward you live

Paraparaumu

Do you want to speak to Council about your submission at our public hearings on 2 May?

Yes

Are you happy for your name to be published with your feedback:

I do not want my name published with my feedback

Submission

Proposal 1: Three waters funding Which option should we choose? (select one option)

Option 1: Fund \$4.7 million shortfall with an additional 5% rates increase in Year 1.

Would you like to expand on your answer for option 1?

When you talk about ensuring the stormwater is dealt with as part of this service, what service is to be delivered at the Waikanae Estuary where, due to the river not being cut for over 20 years, the river mouth floods the storm water pipes on the south side at high tide and is quickly removing the dunes that provide them, and the houses behind protection. This action has increased dramatically in the past three years to the point of houses becoming at risk. How much of the ratepayer's money is being spent on repeatedly repairing these pipes and will be spent on the remedial work when they fail and the lower lying areas are flooded? Surely the cost to cut the river, as was done every six and a half years on average from 1930 -2001 is significantly cheaper and has a wealth of positives for council assets, dune protection and low lying areas. Do KCDC intend to advocate for GWRC to manage this flood hazard as they are charged to do?

Proposal 2: Proactively reduce Council's debt Which option should we choose? (select one option)

Option 2: Apply average rates increases of 7% per year from 2025/26 to 2033/34

Proposal 3: Transfer Council's housing for older people Which option should we choose? (select one option)

Option 2: Older persons' housing is delivered by an existing Community Housing Provider with less influence from Council

New climate action rate Which option should we choose? (select one option)

Option 2: Make no change to how we allocate funding our climate change activities

If you have any views on these other items, please comment here:

The proposed alcohol licensing fees bylaw sees to lump all alcohol sellers in the same category, they're not. A more nuanced approach is needed where small boutique providers are not being charged the same as supermarkets with multi million dollar sales turnovers. This is a one size fits all model that does not seem equitable or fair.

Is there anything else you'd like to tell us about this LTP?

What provision is being made in the LTP for dealing with fluvial flooding and the resultant dune loss as a result of the Waikanae River remaining uncut for over 20 years? The repercussions of this being ignored for any longer will lead to outcomes that could be expensive for KCDC and ratepayers so it is imperative this situation is not left unattended. (Please refer to the document below for clarification)

Upload any related files



https_s3-ap-so... .pdf_1714253735