

Long-term Plan 2024–34



Respondent No. 281

Response ID 5675527

Date of contribution Apr 28 24 01:59:50 pm

Personal information

First name Anonymous

Last name Anonymous

I'm providing a submission (choose one): as an individual

Please let us know what ward you live in Paraparaumu

Do you want to speak to Council about your submission at our public hearings on 2 May? No

Are you happy for your name to be published with your feedback: I do not want my name published with my feedback

Submission

Proposal 1: Three waters funding
Which option should we choose? (select one option)

Option 2: Fund \$4.7 million shortfall by taking on debt each year.

Would you like to expand on your answer for option 2?

People cannot afford to do the ambitious work programme of the Council. We cannot afford the water reforms and reduce debt and pay for increase in council costs.

Proposal 2: Proactively reduce Council's debt
Which option should we choose? (select one option)

Option 3: Apply average rates increases of 6% per year from 2025/26 to 2033/34

Would you like to expand on your answer for option 3?

Reduce debt by completing work and not taking on new work. We can't do it all. Do not want this cost being in addition to funding other work.

Proposal 3: Transfer Council's housing for older people Which option should we choose? (select one option)

Option 2: Older persons' housing is delivered by an existing Community Housing Provider with less influence from Council

New climate action rate Which option should we choose? (select one option)

Option 2: Make no change to how we allocate funding our climate change activities

Would you like to expand on your answer for option 2?

No trust and confidence in how the Council will use this rate. It will see individual rate payers pay more which is not supported given other increases proposed. See risk in creating cluster communities such as those in high climate rate areas will be affluent and those in low areas lower socio economic.

If you have any views on these policies, please comment here:

A summary of the changes would enable effective feedback.

If you have any views on these other items, please comment here:

A summary of the changes would enable effective feedback.

The difference in annual dog registrations fees may not encourage registration. Can the benefit of registration be made clearer. To a responsible owner there isn't a lot of benefit. Benefit seems to be to the Council to know what exists and dogs wearing a tag.

Abandoned vehicle charge - daily rate seems very low given daily commuter parking in Wellington is \$15 - \$20 per day.

Is there anything else you'd like to tell us about this LTP?

Would prefer to see options on what the LTP would look like with scenarios of rates increases e.g. 5%, 7% or 10% rather than picking parts for options.

Do not support the proposed rates increase.

Want to see the Council cut its work programme to keep within available funding or 5% increase.

Can't say there is not enough money and then increase CE salary.

Council is out of touch with economic reality of ratepayers.

Stop taking money from people who have no choice but to pay.