From: To: Cc: Subject: Date: Attachments:	Emma Rean Mean Bair Mean Tode Fillet Thometor: Stehen Satorius: Courtenzy: Intervood: Sathin Nati; Isaac Card RE: (FCL2333) RM240112 - 160 Maxeegab Road, Panganaumu - Further Information Request Tuesday, 24 September 2023 - 55:60 pm Image002.org Image002.org Image002.org
Hi Megan	
In Imegan Please proceed with getting the peer review of the LVEA underway. For the purpose of progressing the assessment – please just assume they are two bedroom units and work from a 'worse-case' scenario. Nicola has also gone back to Megan Turner this afternoon – we're evaluating the water storage, but happy with Megan T's recommendations for the bin juice and pump station requirements. Thanks	
Ngā mihi nui Emma Bean (Sh	z/Her) Intermediate Planner BSci
Cuttriss Consult e. emma.bean http://www.cu	@cuttriss.co.n2. m. +61 435 500 240
Facebook Instagr	an.] Linkedin
Great People. G Celebrating 75 Wellington Gold	reat Relationships. Great Results. ears in Business - 2022 I Awards – Finalists 2021 on Business Awards – Professional Service and Supreme Award Winners 2019
Sent: Tuesday, To: Emma Bear Cc: Nicola Todo <sashin.nair@< td=""><td>24 September 2024 5:26 pm < Emma Bean@cuttriss.co.nz> ; Elliott Thornton <elliott.thornton@cuttriss.co.nz>; Stephen Sutorius <stephens@thamespacific.com>; Courtenay Isherwood <courtenay.isherwood@kapiticoast.govt.nz>; Sashin Nair aplicoast.govt.nz>; Isaac Cant </courtenay.isherwood@kapiticoast.govt.nz></stephens@thamespacific.com></elliott.thornton@cuttriss.co.nz></td></sashin.nair@<>	24 September 2024 5:26 pm < Emma Bean@cuttriss.co.nz> ; Elliott Thornton <elliott.thornton@cuttriss.co.nz>; Stephen Sutorius <stephens@thamespacific.com>; Courtenay Isherwood <courtenay.isherwood@kapiticoast.govt.nz>; Sashin Nair aplicoast.govt.nz>; Isaac Cant </courtenay.isherwood@kapiticoast.govt.nz></stephens@thamespacific.com></elliott.thornton@cuttriss.co.nz>
Kia ora Emma	
Thank you for	your email and the attached additional information. This has been downloaded and will be circulated to relevant Council officers for review. your offer to voluntarily suspend processing of the application under s91D while Council reviews the further information for completeness. Once Council staff have reviewed all the further information provided to you know whether Council considers the further information request to have been satisfied.
At this stage I	don't seem to have received any information about water storage, "bin juice" and the trafficable private pump station that addresses the advice provided by Megan Turner to Nicola Todd on these matters. These relaterated below:
	_
assessment b Please find at Ngā mihi, Megan Barr	t Sashin Nair has previously raised (with both you and Elliott Thornton) an issue regarding most of the proposed units being marked "either 1 or 2 bed units". This is likely to present difficulties in terms of effects ecause it has implications for traffic generation and parking, as well as development contributions. It doesn't look like any clarification has been provided about this issue yet. ached a letter confirming that it is Council's intention to commission a peer review of the Landscape and Visual Effects Assessment prepared by Design Group Stapleton Elliott and dated 23 September 2024. Kapill Coast District Council
Käpiti Coast Dist Tel 04 2964 700 Mob 021 468 10	
www.kapiticoast. From: Emma B	penn an <_mma.Rean@cuttris.co.m>
Sent: Tuesday,	24 September 2024 3:16 pm
	<decan barr@kapiticaat.cov.n=""></decan>
Subject: RE: [#	ta <u>piticoast govt n</u> ı>; Isaac Cant < <u>Isaac Cant@kapiticoast govt n</u> ı> CL23333] RM240112 - 160 Mazengarb Road, Paraparaumu - Further Information Request
Kia ora Mega Thank you for	h, your email and input into the proposal to date. In response, we have provided all the information requested or confirmed with the relevant specialist that this information is no longer required as
outlined belo	
 Item 3 - 	tre for attachments. Please find the attached staging plan showing all services provided as part of stage 1, and the dwellings carried out in stages 2-5 noting that the staging sequence may vary according to market
deman • Item 7 -	
	Please find below our assessment of hydraulic neutrality as it relates to the earthworks at Niu Sila Way.
	As there is no change to permeability in this area, there is no change to the run-off from that area as the existing strip of land is grassed and will be grassed post-earthworks. The Niu Sila Way properties will exit be accurate the activation of the run-off from that area as the existing strip of land is grassed and will be grassed post-earthworks. The Niu Sila Way properties will exit be accurate the activation of the run-off from that area as the existing strip of land is grassed and will be grassed post-earthworks. The Niu Sila Way properties will exit be accurate the activation of the run-off from that area as the existing strip of land is grassed and will be grassed post-earthworks. The Niu Sila Way properties will exit be accurate the activation of the run-off from that area as the existing strip of land is grassed and will be grassed post-earthworks. The Niu Sila Way properties will exit be accurate the activation of the run-off from that area as the existing strip of land is grassed and will be grassed post-earthworks. The Niu Sila Way properties will exit be accurate the activation of the run-off from the target as the existing strip of land is grassed and will be grassed post-earthworks. The Niu Sila Way properties will exit be accurate the activation of the run-off from the target as the existing strip of land is grassed and will be grassed post-earthworks. The second strip of the run-off from the target as the existing strip of land is grassed and will be grassed post-earthworks. The second strip of the run-off from the run-off fro
 Item 11 	will retain hydraulic neutrality as there is no change to permeable surface areas, and that water will still be able to soak into the grass as it does now once the earthworks have been undertaken. – The DGSE and Cuttriss plans have been updated to show the location of car parking that is capable of being made accessible.
	Please note, we request that our proposal be considered as a non-compliance with Rule TR-PARK-R18 and resource consent also triggered under Rule TR-PARK-R32. The rules around accessible parking do not specify whether these need to be attached to the residential units, or visitors. As such, we haven't not yet determined how many, if any of the units will be designed for accessibility and therefore at this stage, we therefore think it is premature to confirm which car parks if any are accessible or not. If we don't provide them as attached to the residential units, but as visitors, this would mean that all visitor car parking will be accessible, resulting in there being no visitor car parking for people without a disability. Given mobility permits represents less than 4% of registered vehicles, this means that they will likely nearly always be empty, and visitors will be forced to park on the street or externally to the site. This is not an ideal outcome. As such, while we can size them to be
Itom 21	accessible, we do not propose to sign post them as such so that they can be available to both people with and without disabilities. – Megan Turner has confirmed that a detailed design report for the low-pressure sewer system can be provided at detailed design stage.
	- regain turnerings committee data detailed design report on the two-pressue sever system can be provided at detailed design stage. - Please find the stacked Landscape and Visual Assessment prepared by DOSE. We note that Council having any need to be per reviewed. While we don't consider this necessary as this
	n prepared by a suitably qualified professional, and the site is not specifically identified as having any special landscape or scenic amenity values in the District Plan.
	ovided all the information requested which satisfies section 92A and request Council to proceed with their notification assessment. We note that Council will require some time to consider the he request for information. As such, we agree upfront to placing the consent on voluntary suspend under section 91D such that the time for Council to consider our response does not count towards
RMA process	ing timeframes.
	consideration of the proposal and relevant expert advice, the proposal should be able to be processed as non-notified. If Council does have a differing view, we kindly request this be discussed with us ing their decision. We note that there some minor changes or amendments may be required, and if so, these can be address prior to the substantive decision or as part of the review of draft conditions.
Ngā mihi nui	Thank you #/Herj intermediate Planner B5ci
Cuttriss Consult e. emma.bean http://www.cu	@cuttriss.co.n2_1 m. +61 435 500 240
Facebook Instage	am Linkedin reat Relationships. Great Results.
Celebrating 75	rears in Business - 2022
-	I Awards – Finalists 2021 on Business Awards – Professional Service and Supreme Award Winners 2019
From: Megan 8	arr «Megan Barr@kapiticoast.govt.n.>
To: Emma Bear	16 September 2024 12:19 pm < <u>Emma Bean@cuttriss.co.nz</u> >
< <u>Sashin.Nair@</u>	<pre>l<cnicola@cuttriss.co.nry; <<u="" elliott="" thomton="">elliott thomton@cuttriss.co.nry; Stephen Sutorius <<u>stephens@thamespacific.com</u>>; Courtenay Isherwood <<u>Courtenay Isherwood@kapiticoast.govt.nr</u>>; Sashin Nair aptiticoast.govt.nry; Isaac Cant <<u>Isaac.Cant@kapiticoast.govt.nr</u>></cnicola@cuttriss.co.nry;></pre>
Subject: RE: [# Kia ora Emma	CCL23333] RM240112 - 160 Mazengarb Road, Paraparaumu - Further Information Request
Further to my	ardier email I have now circulated the partial further information response to relevant Council officers and the Ātiawa ki Whakarongotai Charitable Trust for review.
	e that in several areas, the information requested by Council has not been provided. no staging plan has been provided.
 Item 7 - 	no revised geotechnical report has been provided.
	no assessment of hydraulic neutrality has been provided. - three accessible parking spaces have not been shown on the plan.
 Item 31 	- a detailed design report of the low-pressure sewer system has not been provided.
information u	a greed to this mormation not being provided (at the meeting on 5 September of otherwise). For clarity, could you please commit whether the responses to these points constitute a relusat to provide the idease 22(1)(c) of the RMA?
Ngā mihi, Megan Barr Contractor to	Kanili Coast District Council

Kāpiti Coast Distri Tel 04 2964 700 Mob 021 468 108

From: Emma Bean < Emma.Bean@cuttriss.co.nz>

<Sashin.Nair@kapiticoast.govt.nz>; Isaac Cant <Isaac.Cant@kapiticoast.govt.nz>

Subject: RE: [#CCL23333] RM240112 - 160 Mazengarb Road. Paraparaumu - Further Information Request

Kia ora Megan

Thanks for meeting with us to discuss the s92 response. Please find our s92 response letter included at the following link: click here, alongside:

- Amended Scheme Plan 23333 SCH, Rev B
 Lighting Plan

Amended Engineering Infrastructure Report

• Email correspondence with Awa regarding flood hazards in the wider area With respect to RFI #35, DGSE are preparing a Landscape and Visual Assessment – this is due to be finalised later this week and will be provided once we have it in hand. In the meantime, we're looking to get started with

resolving the engineering matters.

From the meeting, Megan Turner was also going to follow up with respect to water re-use tanks, details for 'bin juice' receptor recently approved by Council and the proposed private pump station. We've not had a response on From the meeting, Megan Turner was also going to follow up with respect to water re-use tank these items yet but anticipate they'll come through as part of a response to our s92 response. Please let me know if you'd like any further clarification on any of the responses. Ngā mih nui | Thank you Emma Bean (Bhe/Her) | Planner | BSC| Cuttriss Consultants Limited

| e. emma.bean@cuttriss.co.nz | m. +64 22 188 7123 | http://www.cuttriss.co.nz

ook | Instagram | Linkedin Great People, Great Relationships, Great Results

Great recipies Orean Relationships, Great Acousts. Celebrating 75 Versi's fluctures. 2022 Wellington Gold Awards – Finalists 2021 Wellington Region Business Awards – Professional Service and Supreme Award Winners 2019

From: Megan Barr <<u>Megan Barr@kapiticoast.govt.nz</u>> Sent: Monday, 26 August 2024 12:32 pm To: Emma Bean <<u>Emma Bean@cuttriss.co.nz</u>>

10. Emma bean < Emma bean </Emma bean </Em

<lsaac.Cant@kapiticoast.govt.nz>

Subject: I#CCL233331 RM240112 - 160 Mazengarb Road. Paraparaumu - Further Information Request

Kia ora Emma,

Please find attached a letter requesting further information regarding resource consent application RM240112, being an application for a 56-lot subdivision comprising 41 residential lots. 14 carparks and a private Total/communal area lot; and for construction of 41 dwellings, a private loop road and associated earthworks not meeting permitted activity standards at 160 Mazengarb Road, Paraparaumu The information requested in the letter is requested pursuant to section 92(1) of the Resource Management Act 1991.

Ngā mihi,

Megan Barr Contractor to Kapiti Coast District Council

Tel 04 2964 700

Kopiti Coast District Council ? 2

The material in this email is confidential to the individual or entity named above, and may be protected by legal privilege. If you are not the intended recipient please do not copy, use or disclose any infor ion included in this com ion without Kāpiti Coast District Council's prior

The material in this email is confidential to the individual or entity named above, and may be protected by legal privilegs. If you are not the intended receiptent please do not copy, use or disclose any information included in this communication without Käptii Coast District Council's prior permission

The material in this email is confidential to the individual or entity named above, and may be protected by legal privilege. If you are not the intended recipient please do not copy, use or disclose any information included in this communication without Kapiti Coast District Council's prior perm